

# The Truth Does Not Need Assistance

# 11

## New developments; Firemen in fire zone

I finished the original, American version of book in August, 2002. At that time nobody knew what happened to the firemen who went into the South Tower. Two months later, in November, somebody in the government decided to release the radio conversations of the firemen in the South Tower. These tapes show us that the firemen carried radios with them, and they sent frequent reports to their headquarters as they climbed up the South Tower. The firemen reached the 78th floor of the South Tower, and they mentioned finding a few small fires in the area.

These audio recordings prove that firemen were walking around on the 78 floor of the South Tower. According to the US government, the fire in this area was so intense that it pulverized the entire South Tower. However, since people were walking around in this area, the temperature could not have been much above 50°C. How could such low temperatures cause a massive steel building to disintegrate?

The U.S. government kept these audio recordings a secret for more than one year. They are still keeping some other information a secret, such as the security camera video that shows Flight 77 crashing into the pentagon. This secrecy should be considered as more evidence that the attack is a scam, and that the U.S. government is trying to manipulate the world into believing Arabs conducted the attack. The truth does not need secrecy to protect it; only lies need protection.

## What happened to Flight 77?

I did not bother to discuss what happened to Flight 77 in the original version of this book because nobody has any evidence to support their speculations. However, I am asked this question frequently, so I will mention a few interesting aspects of Flight 77 that might give us clues on what happened to it.

- 1) Many photos were taken of a dog that were sent into the Pentagon to search for bodies of the passengers from Flight 77. There were 64 people on Flight 77, and the plane crashed into a very small area at the Pentagon. If each person averaged 50 kg., that means there were more than 3,000 kg of body parts scattered in a very small area. However, the dog never found even one gram of those 3,000 kilograms. Actually, the dog has a blank expression in every photo. Apparently, the dog never picked up the scent of the human. This is evidence that there were no human remains in the crash zone, which supports the accusation that a drone crashed into the Pentagon.
- 2) Although the dog could not find any of the passengers from Flight 77, there were 124 military employees at the Pentagon who died during the attack. Their bodies were found immediately, without the need for a dog. Their bodies were



US Military photos

**Figure 1-1** A dog could not find people from Flight 77

quickly shipped to a morgue in Fort Belvoir, Virginia, which is to the south of the Pentagon. They remained at this morgue for a short but unspecified period of time. The bodies were then shipped north to a morgue at an Air Force Base in Dover, Delaware. The morgue in Dover had the equipment and personnel to identify the bodies with DNA analysis, dental records, and other techniques. However, since the US government wanted the bodies at Dover, why did they first ship them to Virginia? Why didn't they ship them directly to Dover?

- 3 The people at Dover claim to have identified the dead bodies of the five Arab hijackers on Flight 77, and most of the other passengers. How did the morgue in Dover end up with the Arab hijackers and other passengers when a dog could not find any pieces of those people?

Perhaps Flight 77 landed near Ohio. The passengers were killed, and their bodies were flown to the morgue in Dover, Delaware at the same time the dead Pentagon employees were being shipped from the morgue in Virginia to the morgue in Dover. The people at Dover never realized that the bodies were coming from different locations.

Perhaps a Global Hawk was sent to crash into the pentagon, and it was shattered before it hit the building (Figures 11-3 to 11-6).

### The attack on the Pentagon was unrealistic

The attack on the World Trade Center was well planned and executed. By comparison, the attack on the Pentagon was unrealistic. For just three examples:

- We are supposed to believe that Flight 77 vanished from air-traffic controllers near Ohio, and then traveled all the way to the Pentagon without anybody noticing it on their radar screens.
- When it reached the Washington D.C. area, we are supposed to believe that it dropped to within a few inches of the cars and grass and flew along the ground towards the Pentagon, rather than dive into the Pentagon like a Kamikaze pilot.
- After crashing into the Pentagon, the entire airplane and everybody on board vanished.

Why would the U.S. government conduct a scam of this magnitude without making the attack on the Pentagon more realistic? Why would they do such an excellent job attacking the World Trade Center while doing such a sloppy job attacking the Pentagon? Were these two attacks planned by different groups of people?

Perhaps the people who planned the attack on the World Trade Center convinced British and American officials to attack the Pentagon in order to create anger towards Arabs. This anger would justify attacking the Taliban, which

in turn would give the U.S. and Britain access to Caspian Sea oil. If the killing of the passengers of Flight 77 was recorded on video, it could be used as blackmail. The U.S. and Britain would get access to Caspian Sea oil, and the people who destroyed the World Trade Center would get material to blackmail both nations, in addition to getting billions in insurance money and valuable vacant land in New York City.

## Has anything like 9-11 happened before?

According to police records, most people who commit large, serious crimes started by committing smaller crimes. Therefore, if the people who committed the September 11th attack follow this same pattern, we should find that they already committed other scams on a smaller scale. Has there been anything like the September 11th attack, but on a smaller scale? Yes, on April 19th, 1995. Since many people have already exposed this scam, I will give only a brief description of it.

### Oklahoma City, 19 April 1995

The U.S. government tells us that Tim McVeigh filled several containers with a mixture of fertilizer and fuel oil, put them in a truck, and parked the truck in front of a government building. When the containers exploded, it shattered the front the building, killing more than 100 people.



Figure 1-2


The Pentagon and morgues



**Figure 1-3** Only one piece of engine was found at the Pentagon

The wings are made of carbon fiber. If the carbon fiber burned, that would explain the lack of airplane debris

A Global Hawk has one, small engine



**Figure 1-4** The Global Hawk

However, General Benton Partin, one of the U.S. military's experts on explosives, found it difficult to believe that such a crude bomb exploding in the street had the power to shatter the building. He thought that the distance between the truck and the building was too great. Also, the damage to the building was not symmetrical, and he could not believe any bomb was capable of creating such a strange pattern of damage.

General Partin decided to calculate the pressures created by the bomb at various distances. His calculations show that when the blast reached the outside of the building, it was several thousand pounds per square inch. This would have done a lot of damage to the at sign of the building. However, as he suspected, his calculations show that when the blast reached the concrete columns of the building, the pressure was too low to pulverize the concrete. General Partin's final assumption was that demolition explosives had been placed in the building before the attack, and those explosives were responsible for the damage.

Unless somebody can show that General Partin's calculations are wrong, Tim McVeigh's truck bomb was merely to grab our attention and fool us into believing that McVeigh was responsible for the damage.

The September 11th attack was an expanded version of the Oklahoma City bombing. Instead of a truck bomb to grab our attention, there were several aircraft. Instead of a few explosives inside one building, there were lots of explosives in several buildings. In both attacks, explosives were detonated while people were inside the buildings. Furthermore, some of the same people, such as Gene Corely, and the same companies, such as Controlled Demolition, Inc, were used for the investigation and cleanup of both attacks.

## How do they get away with these scams?

The Oklahoma City bombing and the September 11th attack are just two of many scams that the U.S. government has committed. Many American businessmen have also committed major crimes. How can these scams and crimes continue year after year? Why do the American people tolerate this abuse?

Many people who bought a copy of *Painful Questions* discovered an answer to this question when they showed the book to their family members, friends, and co-workers. They wanted to help their friends realize that the attack was a scam. However, the typical reaction they received was insults and sarcasm, not "Thank you for providing this information".

Most people refuse to accept the possibility that the attack was a scam. Aside from sarcasm and insults, two interesting remarks that I personally heard are:

*"If what you're saying is true, that would be very depressing."*

*"If your book is correct, what hope is there for us?"*

Rather than deal with the depressing possibility that the US government staged the attack, most people prefer to believe that a few Arabs conducted the attack all by themselves.

### It makes no difference how much evidence we provide

In the original, American version of *Painful Questions*, I include two chapters about the killing of President Kennedy to show that the killing was such an obvious scam that we can determine the U.S. government was involved in the killing simply by reading a small portion of the official government report (i.e., the Warren Report). We do not have to read the entire report, nor do we have to interview witnesses, travel to Dallas, or conduct experiments with rifles. The Warren Report does not show us who masterminded the killing, but it shows us that the U.S. government was involved. (The book *Final Judgment* gives information on who may have masterminded it.)


I think the Warren report provides plenty of evidence that the killing of President Kennedy was a scam, but most people demand much more evidence. As a result, hundreds of people have done further research into the killing to provide more evidence. These investigators have written hundreds of books and articles to explain this additional evidence. However, none of those books have had an effect on American society. It has been 40 years since that killing, but American school books are still teaching children that Oswald killed President Kennedy by himself.

How much more evidence must we provide the American people before they realize the killing of President Kennedy was a scam? Do we need to write another 200 books and articles?

No, it does not matter how much evidence we provide. Many people simply refuse to face the possibility that the killing was a scam.

The Oklahoma City bombing was exposed as a scam by General Partin several years ago, and many other people provided additional evidence. However, most Americans are insisting that Tim McVeigh attacked that building all by himself. It doesn't matter how much evidence we provide; most people either ignore the evidence, or they refuse to believe it.

My mother voted for President Bush, and she supported the war in Afghanistan on the grounds that we should retaliate against those terrible Arabs who attacked us on September 11th. She supported the war in Iraq on the grounds that Saddam was a bad person, and that the Americans are helping the Iraqi people by getting rid of Saddam. She believes whatever she hears on the television news. She considers the television news to be honest and intelligent.



**Figure 1-5** This drawing of a Boeing 757 shows how large the airplane was compared to the automobiles. If Flight 77 truly flew a millimeters above the cars at 640 kilometers per hour, why are there are no reports of people hearing the two engines, each of which was 2.7 meters in diameter?



**Figure 1-6**

**A** Perhaps a Global Hawk was sent to crash into the Pentagon.

The Pentagon may have its own missiles for security, and a missile may have been fired at the Global Hawk.



**B** The missile would have incinerated most of the carbon fiber, thereby eliminating a lot of the airplane debris.

My mother has no desire to read my book *Painful Questions*, but she recently became willing to watch my video *Painful Deceptions*. (I published the American version of *Painful Questions* in September, 2002. A few months later I released *Painful Deceptions*, a video that provides more evidence that the attack was a scam.)

After watching *Painful Deceptions* my mother came to the conclusion that the September 11th attack was a scam. However, she continues to support President Bush and the war in Iraq. Her attitude is that we should support our government. She also continues to believe the television news reporters.

### Should we keep quiet about bad news?

I was amazed to hear my mother mention that she could never show *Painful Deceptions* to her sister because it would upset both her sister and her sister's husband. It then occurred to me that America may have thousands, maybe millions, of people who realize the September 11th attack is a scam, but who are keeping quiet about it in order to avoid upsetting other people.

Furthermore, there may be lots of Americans who realize that the killing of President Kennedy is a scam, or that the Oklahoma City bombing is a scam, or that the Apollo moon landing was a scam, but they are keeping quiet about those scams also for the same reason. The Apollo moon landing is a good example of a scam that many Americans would be tempted to keep secret to avoid upsetting other Americans. Most Americans still believe that people landed on the moon in 1969!

### Sugar and Stevia

Stevia is an extremely sweet extract from a plant. Millions of people use it as a sugar substitute, often without realizing it because it is in many commercial products, such as Coca Cola. However, it is against the law in America to use Stevia as a sugar substitute, so the Coca Cola in the USA contains sugar, NutraSweet, or some other sugar substitute.

Why is Stevia illegal in America? Did other nations allow the use of Stevia before it has been proven safe? Is the American government protecting the health of the American people? Or, did the American government outlaw Stevia to protect the profits of companies that product sugar or NutraSweet?

Scientists in Japan and other nations studied Stevia, and they could not find any dangerous aspects to it. If the Americans scientists have evidence that Stevia is dangerous, where is that evidence?

The secrecy about Stevia should be allowed in court as evidence of a scam; the truth about Stevia does not need secrecy.

### Scam after scam after scam

Almost every large terrorist attack and mysterious accident brings accusations of a scam. For another example, the US government claims that the Unabomber was Ted Kaczynski, but a man named Daniel Clayton Pride has an

Internet site to show evidence that Kaczynski was a patsy, and that people connected to the U.S. government were creating and mailing those bombs.

There are also accusations that the Americans were responsible for sabotaging the Concord aircraft which crashed July 25, 2000.

Years ago I would have dismissed these accusations as "conspiracy theories", but so many of these conspiracies theories seem truthful that I now give them careful consideration.

## Humans are like sheep

Humans want approval from other people, and we abhor criticism. We like people who praise us, and we are annoyed by people who criticize us. In our quest to get approval from other people, we mimic one another. This is most obvious with our hair and clothing styles. When we select clothing, our primary concern is what other people will think of us. We are not much concerned about whether the clothing is practical or comfortable.

To understand how strong our craving for approval is, try wearing clothing that is not considered appropriate. For example, if you have access to older styles of clothing, try to wear clothing that was popular decades or centuries ago. You will find an intense feeling coming from within your mind telling you to get back in the house before somebody sees you. It is extremely difficult to do something that other people do not approve of.

People who question government policies, such as me, or people who discuss them, such as the people who read this book and try to talk about it, experience similar emotional pressure. We are ridiculed, insulted, and glared at. Only a small percentage of the population has the ability to withstand the emotional abuse. When most people are exposed to extreme public disapproval, they reevaluate their opinions and convince themselves that they must be mistaken. They then change their opinions, even if there is evidence that their original opinions are more sensible than the official explanation. Most people will believe an absurd theory in order to avoid ridicule.

Often I hear somebody say that if the American people realized that the September 11th attack was a scam, they would be furious at the US government. However, my mother now realizes the attack was a scam, but she continues to support the US government. She is not furious at the US Government. Rather, she has been so thoroughly convinced that the Arabs are violent, suicidal savages that she continues to support the killings of Arabs. Also, most of her friends and relatives support President Bush and his wars, and my mother tends to follow the crowd. My mother does not have my personality.

Neither my video nor my book can give my mother the emotional strength she needs to stand up to the people who insist that Osama was responsible for the September 11th attack. My book can provide information, but the reader must find the emotional strength within himself.

## How could the Germans follow Hitler?

Americans frequently insult the Nazis for their obedience to Hitler; the French for their obedience to Napoleon; and the Iraqi people for their obedience to Saddam Hussein. My mother also occasionally makes remarks about the blind obedience to Hitler.

My mother has helped me to understand this obedience. My mother supports nearly all of the killings, bombings, and wars of the American government. She behaves exactly like the people she condemns. However, she considers herself an educated, patriotic, intelligent American who makes her own decisions, not a sheep who is mindlessly following other sheep. She considers her opinions to be the result of her intelligent analysis of world events, but I have never seen her do any investigation into American foreign policy, the September 11th attack, the Apollo moon landing, political candidates, Stevia, or any other issue. Rather, she picks up opinions from the television news and her friends.

My mother is certainly typical of people around the world. Most people support their government and criticize other nations despite overwhelming evidence that their government is just as crummy as the others. Also, most people refuse to research or discuss the problems the world is facing. This makes it easy for television news reporters to manipulate their opinions.

My mother has no desire to analyze world problems, or even read a book about the September 11th attack that her own son has written. She prefers to spend her time visiting with friends and family, playing a game of bridge, eating, and other forms of entertainment. Her interest in world affairs is so low that all she is willing to do is occasionally watch superficial news reports on television. From those television reports she makes decisions on who to elect as president and which nation deserves a bombing.

Most people are as irresponsible as my mother. However, these people insist on having the right to vote and on having the right to pass judgment on which nation deserves a bombing. These people want to influence the world and authorize wars, but they refuse to learn about or discuss the issues.

Some retired people have said that they do not want to read *Painful Questions* because they are too old to be bothered with these terrible scams. At the same time, these old people want to pass judgment on who should be elected to government, and which nation deserves a bombing. They claim to be too old to read *Painful Questions*, but they are not too old to support President Bush and a bombing of Iraq.

## Problems are allowed to go on forever

Every nation has a lot of problems, but no nation is dealing with them. Consider the problem of population growth and immigration. Every nation is affected by these problems, but no government is doing anything about it, nor are many citizens bothering to discuss this issue. Some nations are on the verge of having serious racial problems as a result of not dealing with these issues. America is an example. In 1776, when America was becoming established,

one-third of the population of the Southern states were African slaves. A few people suggested that slavery be outlawed in the new nation of America, but most people ignored the issue. As a result, slavery continued for almost another century.

Although slavery has since ended, Americans have replaced it with something we do not have a word for. Specifically, Americans have been bringing Mexicans, Chinese, and other people into America to be a low-cost source of labor. These people are not brought to America to become friends or citizens. Instead, they are being treated as "human donkeys" to replace the African slaves.

In the 1950's Germany started a similar program; they referred to the people as "Gastarbeiter". The Europeans who started the nation of South Africa also used other races as a cheap labor source. As you certainly know, they eventually brought in so many human donkeys that the donkeys decided to fight for control of the government.

The population of America in 2003 is about one quarter Africans, Mexicans, Chinese, and other non-Europeans, most of whom were brought to America to serve as slaves or donkeys. Since it is unlikely that America will stop using other races as donkeys, these donkeys will soon dominate the nation. Eventually fights will break out as the donkeys demand control of the nation. America may break apart into separate nations as a result.

While all nations have policies that restrict immigration, no nation has truly dealt with this issue. Millions of people want to emigrate to Europe, for example, in order to enjoy the high standard of living or the generous European welfare system. Even if Europe had enough land to hold the millions of poor people, the different races and religions have never been able to coexist peacefully. Rather, like droplets of oil in a pool of water, the different groups of people tend to separate from each other. This reduces the unity of a nation. Some people cause more racial tension by giving special treatment to their particular group when they hire employees or do business with other companies.

If each nation would create a respectable government for themselves, and if each nation would spend its time making their nation a nice place to live, then the citizens of each nation would be happy to remain in their own nation. What is wrong with each group of people remaining in their own nation?

The President of Mexico, Vicente Fox, complained that America should accept more Mexicans, but wouldn't it make more sense for President Fox to make Mexico into such an attractive nation that the Mexican people are happy to remain in Mexico?

If every nation was a wonderful place, the citizens of every nation would be happy to remain in their own nation. Unfortunately, all nations have lousy governments because most citizens are like my mother. Most people want to spend their time entertaining themselves; they do not want to do the hard work required to create a better government, nor do they want to conduct research into their nation's problems. As a result, no nation has developed a competent government, and no nation is dealing with their problems.

# Weiteres Bildmaterial



1a



1b



1c



1d

1e



The water fountain



The towers came down so neatly that the water fountain was not completely destroyed.





*The towers look as if they were put through a giant shredding machine.*

